App.F.IX-4

[illegible] 1925-6 File No. 51-25

Previous References (P. V Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.
C.U. 99-25-(1-2)
C.V. 14-26 (1-2)
C.V. 46-26 (1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. [illegible]

Part B for Nov '25.

SUBJECT

Question of levying fees for the inoculation of cattle against infectious diseases

---

I.C 3521-22 dt 22.11.25

To

Director of Agriculture
Comptroller this Dl.
Rev. commr
Supdt Govt. Printing
Dy. Comms of Dts.

General and Revenue Secretariat

G.V File No. S[illegible] 1913 of 1925-26

Serial No

To the Dr. of Agre
no. 2e 2216/CV of 30.9.25
Requests him to submit detailed proposals re the levy of a fee of 1-12 a for every "simultaneous inoculation" etc
2e 2259 of 1.10.25.
Copy in compliance to suggest the method of Accounts to be maintained re the receipts from the levy etc.

Immediate

8) ~~Draft~~ Second member's remarks in file no. GV 14-25-3 (re Addl. grant of 1,20,000/- for Serum etc.)
"Please submit draft re payment by this evening if possible
Sd. K.C.
1.10.25.

a) Dft put up for cmd[illegible]
Sd/
1/10 2/10.

10) To H Levy with Compliments for favour of opinion and suggestions as desired by the member - vide his minute of date.
Sd/-
2.10.25.
1/10 3
2/10

The Dft has been amplified as per R Sec's instructions
1/10.
2/10.

H 2/10

11) Para 1 of draft.- One of the reasons given for levying a fee is that "the simultaneous method gives permanent immunity to the cattle inoculated". I am not sure if such a positive assuran

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

assurance can be given about the nature of protection which the new method affords. In the note on this subject placed before the Representative Assembly, it was stated that the "serum simultaneous method" was "expected to give permanent immunity". If permanent immunity cannot be promised, it is well to make the statement in this respect less unqualified.

(2) Para 2 (i).- Whether the treatment referred to here is according to the active or passive method, may be made clear.

(3) Para 2 (ii).- Healthy cattle not offered for active inoculation on payment of the prescribed fee will, according to this clause, be treated free of any charge under the passive method. Whether this free treatment is once for each head of cattle or as often as the same head of cattle is attacked with disease, is not stated. This may be made clear.

(4) I do not understand what is meant by stating that "Government will not undertake any further responsibility". Is it meant that when cattle treated ~~with~~ by the passive method ~~xxxx~~ become sick again, Government will not inoculate them ? If so, this position is not reconcilable with para 2 (i) which states that "in infected villages cattle that are actually sick, will be treated free".

(5) Para 4.- The rules proposed for accounting for fees are suitable.

4.10.25

General and Revenue Secretariat

(1) File No. 5 (1)3 of 1925-6.

[App. F. III—2

Serial No

16) Subd fu formal v usn —
Rev Secy
17) 2nd member)

WP 5/10/25

h
5.10.25

3186/5/10

18) Dague - Plean submitd to Dewan before issue. A Kannada translation shd also be published in the Gazette & 3 spare copies supplied to D.C.s. I have personally no objection to reducing the rate to 1/6 so as to get more cases, the actual loss, I am told, of the passive method is 13 as.

lee
5/10

19) a/s. Plean put. have a clean typed draft as revised yesterday and then submit. to Dewan

h
5.10.25

WP 6/10.

20) Subd with typed draft.

Issd 6.10.25.

21) Dft subd fu appt before issue

WP 6/10/25

Dewan)

22) Mutation to the subject of Land Revenue

L
1.10.25

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
RECD. ON 6 OCT 1925
Reg. No. 2813

Note—Contn. Sheet

5

General and Revenue Secretariat

[App. F. III—2

GN File No. 57 of 192 .

Serial No

From Supdt. Gen. Dept.
No. 6086 dt 10.10.25

States that the average cost of a "simultaneous inoculation" will, in the circumstances explained, be Rs 1/4/- & suggests that the fee for the inoculation be fixed at one rupee per head.

23) 2nd Member agrees on the p.n.d "please change 1/12 to 1R in the 80 th form, in the circumstances & submit to Dewan & Fin. Secy".

24) ~~Nec~~ Alterations, as above, have been made in the draft.

Subd 13-10-25

25) The serum necessary for both the kinds of inoculation are supplied from Mokteswar, on indents. The same establishment will attend to both methods of inoculation.

26) Refe: Dewan's minute above.
(The attach ~~ment~~ re. the amount of fee have been made in the draft)—

Honble Secy

27) 2nd member Dewan

Seen & approved 16/10

28) 18/10 4 19.10

29) This is an important 17/10 Bright scheme both for the Public & Govt. Please 19.10

Note—Contn. Sheet

3407 15/10

No. 2970.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(G.N. File No. 5[illegible] of 1928.)

Serial No	
	30) D.F.N. Sub'd.
	31) D.F.N. sub'd for appt. — R Sec
	32) 2nd Member
3581 21.10.	

1882
2 MAY 21 10

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. S/1 of 1925

Serial No.

Rev Secy re the Emplacements.

33) Major Simpson has been protesting vehemently some years to the GG. charging IR for permt immunising &c his arguments are that temp y inoculation is useless as the immunity is only for 9 days, that the more or less permanent Govt farm permanent if done free more than once, & that as no one can pay for permt immunisation the cattle will really die in very large numbers. He also said that if and then each is taxed for serum & the IR payment is abolished he could 'practically' stamp out the disease by end of June next.

34) A new fact was elicited that a permt immunised animal will convey infection just like a diseased one, & hence permt immn could be attempted in healthy villages only if all agree.

35) In these cases whether, in view of the large number of deaths foreseen, the levy of fees might not be left over till next year, & an addl cash sum to Government.

36) The weak points in the argument is that he can's

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

Jeanne Manpiepon, the dancer
clean if an add lakh is saved,
& that as the people have not
agreed to segregation etc, the
Govt. have to be many times more
than if they had, & might run to
many lakhs.

37) The question may perhaps
be considered again in Executive Council
Secretariat, as
this a matter in which
Govt will be blamed both for
keeping quiet & for spending money
in speech, & the easier answer
may be to spend in the most light
& free manner to destroy it.

39) Please submit to
Secretary to the Govt
21/10/25.

42) May be counted
as usual matter
at Madras
21/10

3584
21/10/25

To Chief Secy
with Compliments
21/10

Immediate

Serial No

(10) Subs[d] for formal & order with the A/L.
(Ref[e] to my memo on the previous page)
R Sec[y])

21/10.

(11) Secretary)
Hon chief
Secy.

21/10.

Matter for Finance Committee with the approval of Secretary L

(12) Please see previous page for Secretary's orders.
R 21/10/25

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 1992

1925-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause xii of the Schedule)

Question of levying fees for the inoculation of cattle against infectious diseases.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

28th October 1925.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council. 29/10

Second Member of Council. [Seen by the Member]

Extra Member of Council.

C 199 10a

Extract from the Proceedings of the 755th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 12th November 1925. *19*

81. Subject. - Clause xii of the schedule.

Question of levying fees for the inoculation of cattle against infectious diseases.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

The proposals to levy a fee of one rupee per head of cattle for permanent immunization may be approved.

(Signed) A. R. BANERJI,

Dewan of Mysore.

4500
13/11/25

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

Subt to 2nd Member for final note.
13/11/25
Final Note signed by the Members is placed below
14/11 Dewan
16.
14/11/25

WD 1471—GPB—2,000—1-8-21

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:—

levy of fees for the new 'simultaneous' method of inoculation of cattle against rinderpest and other cattle diseases.

As submitted in my Final Note dated the 16th September 1925, th question of levying a small fee for the inoculation of cattle against contagious diseases was placed before the Representative Assembly at its last Session. The members of the Assembly did not like fees bein levied for inoculation of cattle. In view of the increased expenditure involved in the adoption of the permanent immunisation method, the majority of the members were agreeable to the levy of the difference in cost between the ordinary and 'simultaneous' methods of inoculation, provided the ordinary inoculation (which gives immunity only for nine days) was done free as hitherto and that it was left to the ryots to have their cattle inoculated by the 'Simultaneous' method which gives permanent immunity. The 'Simultaneous' method of inoculation costs roughly Rs.1-14-0 per head of cattle inoculated against 13 annas under the ordinary method. To recoup a portion of the heavy expenditure which the State has to incur in combating the disease it is now proposed to levy a fee of one rupee for each inoculation by the 'Simultaneous' method, and a draft Government Order sanctioning the levy of the fee under certain conditions and laying down the rules of procedure to be adopted has been prepared under my directions and in consultation with the Director of Agriculture and Financial Secretary.

With a view to make the advantages of the 'Simultaneous' inoculation known to the ryots, a Kannada translation of the Government Order will be published in the Gazette and spare copies supplied to the Deputy Commissioners of Districts for circulation. It has to be stated that Major Simpson is sceptical about the proposed scheme becoming effective and wishes to do the 'Simultaneous' inoculation also free, but in view of the heavy expenditure involved and the fact that the non-acceptance

by the Assembly of legislation, as submitted in my Final Note dated the 2nd November 1925, would make the scheme costlier still, it is proposed to try the method of levying fees for permanent immunisation as an experiment.

The question was considered in Council on the 12th November 1925 when the following resolution was recorded:-

"The proposals to levy a fee of one rupee per head of cattle for permanent immunisation may be approved".

I solicit His Highness' gracious approval to the Resolution of the Council.

Bangalore,
14th November 1925.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

13

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second *Member of Council on the subject of* Levy of fees for the new simultaneous method of inoculation of cattle against rinderpest and other cattle diseases, *for His Highness' gracious approval.*

Dewan.

16 NOV 1925

(14).

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Levy of fees for the new method of inocu lation of cattle against rinderpest and - other cattle diseases.

349

Order.

~~KRW~~
17/11/25

Revby
GP

C199

General and Revenue Secretariat

Question of levying fee for the inoculation of Cattle against infectious diseases.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circlated to the Members of Council at headquaters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before */after issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department.

460/19.11

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 19/11

4640/18/11

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. Reddett Issue order 19/11/25

EXTRA MEMBER OF COUNCIL. a/s. the answer 20/11

Rev Secy, with Comps. 20/11

NOTE - Contn. sheet.

Serial No

Sealed with Draft & Fees (Rs.)

Please fix fortn. note at page 2/12 (11)

B
23/11/25

B
23/11

H. Secy (personal)

Some
Minute
26.11.25

B

H. Secy (Personal)

H 3033/dated 26/11/25

4. 3521-32./23rd Nov. 1925.

To The Director of Agriculture.
- Comptroller, thro' H. Secy.
- Rev. Commissioner,
- D.C's of Districts.
- Supdt. Govt. printing.

passes orders re: levy of fees for the inoculation of cattle, fixing the fees at Re 1/- per head of cattle inoculated, and Rules for the Collection of fees

From No. Compl. F.A.D.B 876/21-11-25

States that the account procedure can be prescribed only by the Accountant General and the Director's letter of 2.10.25 are passed etc

No further action seems necessary in the matter and detailed instructions re Account Procedure have been given in the GO already issued. The file will be closed

Supdt. Govt. Press
28-11-25.

Rev Secy
28/11

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

3878 7-12-25.

RR To The Comptroller

Invites attention to Go. No No. 3521-32/on 57-25-1 dated 23rd Nov. 1925.

G. R. S. III—3]

18

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Revised with emphasis
& detailed G.O. shortly to be issued
consulting with Mr. K. Ry Secy

In infected villages

1. Cattle that are actually sick
~~infected~~ will be ~~inoculated~~ infected
~~by the former inoculation~~
~~method free~~ treated
free.

2. Healthy cattle in infected
villages will be ~~inoculated~~
permanently immunised on
payment of Rs 1/12 ~~or~~ or
inoculated by passive method
free but they will have to
be clearly stated that the
immunity lasts only for
Govt. that Govt could have no
further responsibility if any one
sets infection infected subsequently
it will affect the whole village

3. In villages, where are not
infected only permanent
immunisation will be done
on payment of Rs 1/12 per head
of cattle.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be gipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

4. ~~The~~ Dy Mrs Veps to
Inspect the cases at once
by the Inspectors in the form

19

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Enclosed herewith
receipts (when signed
by Parties who have received
the money, & send them
to the Treasurer
for the Census.
are not authorised to
receive money
permanently in
each case
on receipt of
Receipts

The receipts should
be duplicate one to be
presented to the Inspector
the other to be kept
for one month to enable

The Inspector should
give keep account of
the Payments
receive & explain
difference between the two
to the Supt.

(This work that
Peo Secy should have
done)

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on slips the notes must be pasted, or slips pasted on this form.

Lee
7/10

Cutpole & Immunity

No. 2216.

C.V. 30.9.25

General & Re[redacted] Secrets (1)

C.V. 57-25-1.

Dear Sir

In view of the discussions in the Representative Assembly on the 30th instant that active immunisation may hereafter be ~~confined~~ granted only to those cases in which the parties are prepared to pay the cost, it is under consideration to levy a fee of Rs 1-12-0 per head. The difference in cost between the ordinary and simultaneous inoculation — on every head of live stock inoculated by means of the "Serum Simultaneous" method.

I am desired to request you to send detailed proposals as to how the levy should be made and the receipts credited to the Treasury without delay.

An early reply is requested.

Yours Sincerely

20

G. H. Krumbiegel. Esq.

I.C. 2259

Offg. Director of Agriculture in Ilipore

Copy to the Govt. Compt. for suggesting the method of accounts to be maintained re- the receipt & credit to Govt. of fees etc.

20

Asstt. Secy.

2

Telegraphic Address "Agri., Bangalore."
Code—5th Edition A. B. C.

IMMEDIATE & CONFIDENTIAL.

In reply please quote in full the Nos. and indicative symbol given below.

Roc. 132-A of 25-26.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

C.V.57-25-2

Bangalore,
Camp Mysore,
....October 2,..... *1925.*

FROM

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

The Offg. DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

TO

B. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore (Camp MYSORE)

SIR,

In reply to your D.O.No.I.C.2216/C.V. dated 30th September 1925, fixing a fee of Rs.1-12-0 for the immunisation of healthy cattle and directing that the Department should take all necessary steps regarding thestamping out of Rinderpest, I have the honour to submit the following :-

What I had asked for was that (1) all inoculations in future must be of the active or simultaneous method, (2) that our work would be greatly facilitated if (a) some regulations for the control of the movement of cattle could be instituted and (b) some of the well-to-do ryats would voluntarily have their more valuable cattle protected by paying for the serum.

From your letter I take it that it has been agreed that people are willing to pay Rs.1-12-0 being the difference between the cost of the former passive inoculation costing about As.12, but affording immunity only for 9 days, and the new simultaneous method which costs Rs.2-8-0 but gives permanent immunity.

If this implies that the Department should protect all healthy cattle by "Simultaneous" inoculation against payment and all cattle in infected villages by the old passive method free of charge I must at the outset say that this will not serve any purpose and will not bring us any nearer to ultimate stamping out of the disease. All infected cattle will be treated by the Department free of charge and the rest of the cattle in such village must be protected by the active (simultaneous) method. In these cases the

fee

fee may be charged at the discretion of the Amildar.

A notification of Government is necessary and the following are the points at issue -

1) that in villages affected by Rinderpest all cattle decla.- by the Veterinary Officer to be infected will be treated free of charge by the Department and must be segregated.

2) that in such villages all the other cattle must be protected by active immunisation, against payment of a fee.

3) that in villages not affected cattle may be brought up for protective immunisation against payment of the prescribed fee, and the owners must agree to abide by the instruction of the Department regards after-treatment.

4) that the fee fixed at Rs.1-12-0 per head of cattle.

5) that all fees must be paid to the Amildar and in case of the voluntary requisitions the inoculation will be performed by the Veterinary Inspector against the Amildar's receipt.

6) that in case of (2) the Veterinary Department will proceed to inoculate and submit a list to the Amildar who will collect the fees or remit them in cases where payment is not possible.

The above points, I think, will meet the case of inoculations. I still think that some restrictions in the movement of cattle, control and inspection at Shandis and Jatras is necessary but that might perhaps be deferred till some experience is gained as to how people respond to the inoculative measures.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

dsr.

Offg. Director of Agriculture.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
12 OCT 1925
INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE
LIBRARY

X/1882 (4)

C.V.57-25-3.

D.O. No. 6086

Office of the Superintendent,
Mysore Civil Veterinary Department,
Bangalore City, Dated 10th Oct 1925.

Dear Sir,

The Director, Imperial Institute of Veterinary Science, Muktesar, has undertaken to supply me in future with serum for Active Immunisation of a higher potency than that hitherto supplied for Passive Immunisation.

With serum of higher potency, a smaller quantity can be used, so I am issuing instructions fixing the doses between 40 c.c. and 60 c.c., the average cost will thus be reduced to Rs. 1-14-0.

Under the circumstances, I would suggest the fee for Active Immunisation be fixed at Re.1/- per head.

Yours sincerely,

To

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,
2nd Councillor.

Immediate

Please change 1/12 to 1R in the G.O. form, in the Cases & Submit to Dewan & Fin. Sec. 4.

Sec
12/10/25

a/s. &c.

h
14/10.

WP
13/10

21768

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

No. TAD.B.876.

Bangalore, dated 21st November *1925*

From

T. SATYARAJ CHETTY, Esq., B.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

D 2736

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Bg. 24. NOV. 1925 To G. D. SECTION.

B.Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No.I.C.2259 dated 1st October 1925, forwarding copy of your D.O.No.I.C.2216 C.V. dated 30th September 1925 addressed to the Director of Agriculture, regarding the levy of a fee of R.1-12-0 on every head for live stock inoculated by means of the ~~serum~~ "simultaneous" method and calling for suggestion as regards the method of accounts to be maintained in connection with the receipt and credit to Government of fees realised, I have the honour to invite reference to letter No. R.O.C.132 dated 2nd October 1925 addressed to Government by the Director of Agriculture submitting certain proposals and to state that the accounts procedure can be prescribed only when orders of Government are passed on the above reference. It is seen from his letter that the Director wants the Revenue Department to be responsible for the collection of fees and the maintenance of accounts in connection therewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T. Satyaraj Chetty

Comptroller.

C.V.57-25-4

Sc. L. 6

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of M y s o r e.

5

R e a d -

D — Govt. Order No. Dc. 2210-71/CV.M.25-11 dated 1st October 1925 sanctioning an additional grant of Rs.1,20,000/-, for carrying on an effective campaign against rinderpest and other cattle diseases prevalent in Shimoga and other districts, by adopting the method of simultaneous inoculation and stating that separate orders will issue regarding the recovery of a portion of the extra cost by levy of fees for such inoculation.

2. Letter No.Roc.132/A dated 2nd October 1925 from the Director of Agriculture in Mysore, submitting his proposals on the subject.

Order No.Dc.3521-32

Dated, Bangalore, 03/11/1925.

In view of the very heavy expenditure considered necessary for the purchase of serum and adoption of the other measures for effectively preventing the spread of cattle epidemic and of the difficulty of providing adequate funds therefor by Government, the question of levying a small fee for inoculating cattle so as to supplement the funds provided by Government, was placed

before the Representative Assembly for discussion at this Dasara Session. While the R.A. members were opposed to a compulsory levy of fees for inoculation and urged that the usual passive inoculation should be free of charge, they were agreeable to the levy of the difference between the cost of the ordinary method of inoculation and of the new simultaneous method, being recovered from those seeking the permanent immunity for their cattle. The latter method of treatment involves an expenditure of roughly Rs. ~~2-8-0~~ 1-14-0 per head of cattle inoculated, while the ordinary method costs only about 12 annas. The passive method of inoculation, which gives immunity only for ~~days~~ a few days has not been ~~the~~ effective in preventing the spread of the disease; and as the simultaneous method which is expected to give permanent immunity to the cattle inoculated, entails heavy expenditure, it is only fair to ask the owners of cattle inoculated by such method to bear the extra cost. The Director of Agriculture who was consulted in the matter has submitted certain proposals for the consideration of Government.

2. Government have carefully considered the subject and are pleased to pass the following orders:-

(i) In infected villages, cattle that are actually sick, will be treated free.

(ii) Healthy cattle in infected

villages will be inoculated with the simultaneous method of inoculation (of serum and virulent blood) on payment of a fee of ~~Rs.1-12-~~ one rupee per head of cattle, which is expected to give permanent immunity. The owners of healthy cattle not choosing this method of inoculation will have their cattle inoculated once, by the passive method, free of charge. They should, however, be clearly told that this will give immunity only for 9 days, that the cattle that are treated with the passive method of inoculation are liable to infection and that, subsequently, should there be one or more fresh attacks, the whole village is liable to be affected.

but should be advised to ~~not~~ have the permanent immunity on payment of a rupee as being far more useful.

(iii) In villages which are not infected, only the permanent immunisation by means of the simultaneous method of inoculation, will be given on payment of a fee of ~~Rs.1-12-0~~ one rupee per head of cattle.

(iv) All requisitions for permanent immunisation must be made to the Patel of the village, who will grant a receipt in the prescribed form to the party on payment of the prescribed fee of ~~Rs.1-12-0~~ one rupee. The Veterinary Inspector or other Veterinary Officer in charge of the inoculation work, shall, on production of this receipt, by the party inoculate the cattle by the simultaneous method.

3. The Director of Agriculture is requested to take immediate action to give effect to the foregoing directions of Government and issue necessary instructions to the Superintendent, Civil

Civil Veterinary Department. He is also requested to undertake, through his Inspectors and by means of pamphlets in Kannada, propagandist work among the people about the immense advantages that will accrue to them by a system of compulsory segregation of infected cattle and permanent immunisation and the relative inferiority as a preventive, of the system that has perforce to be adopted at present, so that such superior measures may become acceptable to them in the future.

4. The procedure as indicated in the annexure will be adopted for accounting for the fees recovered and the serum used.

5. The Superintendent, Govt. Printing, is requested to print and supply the receipt books and forms prescribed in the annexure in Kannada on requisition from the Director without delay.

WP 6/10

for Secretary to Govt.Rev.Dept.

To

The Director of Agriculture in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Govt. through Finl. Sectt.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Superintendent, Govt. Printing.

A n n e x u r e.

Rules for the collection of fees for inoculation of cattle by the simultaneous method (of -- serum and virulent blood) and for the proper accounts for the doses of serum.

Counterfoil receipt books (Form No.1) serially numbered bearing a face value of ~~Rs.1-12-0~~ one Rupee headed "Simultaneous inoculation fee" will be supplied to the Patels by the Amildars, the latter getting the books, on requisition, from the -- Superintendent, Civil Veterinary Department. The Patel of the village where the applicant resides, must give the duplicate receipt to the party paying the fee. Manuscript receipts in duplicate will be issued by the patels pending the receipt of printed books. The Patel shall also keep a simple remittance book in Form No.2 for entering the daily receipts and for noting the remittances to the Treasury, which will ordinarily be once a month. The Patel shall produce the remittance with a chellan, along with the counterfoil receipt book and the remittance book for scrutiny by the Treasury Officer. The counterfoil receipt books, after they are used up, should be promptly returned to the Amildar, by the Patel concerned. The receipts will be credited in the Treasury under the head "Agriculture". The Comptroller will issue necessary detailed instructions in the matter for the guidance of the -- Treasury. A statement of the receipts during each month will be sent by the Treasury to the Superintendent, Civil Veterinary Department, who

will verify the collections with the accounts received from the several Veterinary Inspectors. The Veterinary Inspector will, in forms prescribed by the Director, keep separate accounts of the doses of serum used (i) for the active method, (ii) for the passive method of inoculation and submit weekly returns to the Superintendent for enabling him to control the operations and to verify the collections with the Treasury credits.

The Superintendent, Civil Veterinary Department, will submit to the Director of Agriculture, for report to Government before the 10th of the succeeding month, monthly statements showing the progress of the operations, as already ordered in No. 2C 2030 — 8 22-9-25 (G.O. 10, 15-9-25).

6/10

RECEIPT GRANTED FOR THE PAYMENT OF SIMULTANEOUS INOCULATION FEE - (Re.1/~~12=0~~)

BOOK No. Serial No.

RECEIVED the above sum from Mr. ..... of.....

village ..... of Hobli ..... of Taluk, for

inoculating 1..... (Here enter buffalo, cow, bull etc.,as the case may be)

Date of Receipt

PATEL'S SIGNATURE.

RECEIPT GRANTED FOR THE PAYMENT OF SIMULTANEOUS INOCULATION FEE - (Re.1/~~12=0~~)

BOOK No. Serial No.

RECEIVED the above sum from Mr. ..... of.....

village ..... of Hobli ..... of Taluk, for

inoculating 1..... (Here enter buffalo, cow, bull etc.,as the case may be)

Date of Receipt

PATEL'S SIGNATURE.

*

Inoculation Register No.	Date of Inoculation. weight of animal.	Initials of the Vety.Ins.

NOTE:- This counterfoil receipt should be given to the party on payment of Re.1/~~12=0~~ and should be produced by the latter before the Veterinary Inspector, while presenting the cattle for inoculation.

* To be entered by Veterinary Inspector after inoculation

F O R M No.E.

15

Taluk
Hobli
Village
Name of Patel

ABSTRACT BOOK SHOWING THE COLLECTION ON ACCOUNT OF FEES FOR PREVENTIVE INOCULATION OF CATTLE BY THE ACTIVE METHOD OF INOCULATION.

Date	Book No. & Serial No. of receipt.	Date of remittance	A m o u n t	Treasury Certificate

14

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

The Secy offices for the circulating cattle

Diseases . . . . . .

G. O. No. I. C. 3521 32/G.V.S.) 25-1, DATED 23rd November 1925.

May this be

i. printed? Yes

ii. treated as a standing order? Yes

iii. published in the Gazette English Part? Yes

iv. translated? Yes

v. placed at the disposal of the press? Yes

vi. published in the Gazette Kannada Part? Yes

Yes
VP

Subject to orders on the above

Noted.

To avoid -

Rsw 7 Sapm VP 23/11

h

VP 24/11

VS

15

S. O.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. I. C. 3521-32—C. V. 57-25-4, dated 23rd November 1925.

The levy of fees for the inoculation of cattle.

Directs ——.

READ—

Government Order No. I. C. 2270-71—C. V. 14-25-11, dated 1st October 1925, sanctioning an additional grant of Rs. 1,20,000 for carrying on an effective campaign against rinderpest and other cattle diseases prevalent in Shimoga and other Districts, by adopting the method of simultaneous inoculation and stating that separate orders will issue regarding the recovery of a portion of the extra cost by levy of fees for such inoculation.

2. Letter No. Roc. 132—A., dated 2nd October 1925, from the Director of Agriculture in Mysore, submitting his proposals on the subject.

ORDER NO. I. C. 3521-32—C. V. 57-25-4, DATED 23RD NOVEMBER 1925.

In view of the very heavy expenditure considered necessary for the purchase of serum and adoption of the other measures for effectively preventing the spread of cattle epidemics and of the difficulty of providing adequate funds therefor by Government, the question of levying a small fee for inoculating cattle so as to supplement the funds provided by Government, was placed before the Representative Assembly for discussion at the Dasara Session. While the Representative Assembly members were opposed to a compulsory levy of fees for inoculation and urged that the usual passive inoculation should be free of charge, they were agreeable to the levy of the difference between the cost of the ordinary method of inoculation and of the new simultaneous method being recovered from those seeking permanent immunity for their cattle. The latter method of treatment involves an expenditure of roughly Rs. 1-14-0 per head of cattle inoculated, while the ordinary method costs only about 12 annas. The passive method of inoculation, which gives temporary immunity has not been effective in preventing the spread of the disease; and as the simultaneous method which is expected to give permanent immunity to the cattle inoculated, entails heavy expenditure, it is only fair to ask the owners of cattle inoculated by such method to bear the extra cost. The Director of Agriculture who was consulted in the matter has submitted certain proposals for the consideration of Government.

2. Government have carefully considered the subject and are pleased to pass the following orders :—

(i) In infected villages, cattle that are actually sick, will be treated free.

(ii) Healthy cattle in infected villages will be inoculated with the simultaneous method of inoculation (of serum and virulent blood) on payment of a fee of one rupee per head of cattle which is expected to give permanent immunity. The owners of healthy cattle not choosing this method of inoculation will have their cattle inoculated once, by the passive method, free of charge, but should be advised to have the permanent immunity treatment on payment of a rupee as being far more useful.

(iii) In villages, which are not infected, only the permanent immunisation by means of the simultaneous method of inoculation, will be given on payment of a fee of one rupee per head of cattle.

(iv) All requisitions for permanent immunisation must be made to the Patel of the village, who will grant a receipt in the prescribed form to the party on payment of the prescribed fee of one rupee. The Veterinary Inspector or other Veterinary Officer in charge of the inoculation work, shall, on production of this receipt by the party, inoculate the cattle by the simultaneous method.

No. I. C. 3521-32—C. V. 57-25-4, DATED 23RD NOVEMBER 1925.

3. The Director of Agriculture is requested to take immediate action to give effect to the foregoing directions of Government and issue necessary instructions to the Superintendent, Civil Veterinary Department. He is also requested to undertake through his Inspectors and by means of pamphlets in Kannada, propagandist work among the people about the immense advantages that will accrue to them by a system of compulsory segregation of infected cattle and permanent immunisation and the relative inferiority as a preventive of the system that has perforce to be adopted at present, so that such superior measures may become acceptable to them in the future.

4. The procedure as indicated in the annexure will be adopted for accounting for the fees recovered and the serum used.

5. The Superintendent, Government Printing, is requested to print and supply the receipt books and forms prescribed in the annexure in Kannada on requisition from the Director without delay.

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To—The Director of Agriculture in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government, through Financial Secretariat.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Superintendent, Government Printing.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.

ANNEXURE.

Rules for the collection of fees for inoculation of cattle by the simultaneous method (of serum and virulent blood) and for the proper accounts for the doses of serum.

Counterfoil receipt books (Form No. 1) serially numbered bearing a face value of one rupee headed "Simultaneous inoculation fee" will be supplied to the Patels by the Amildars, the latter getting the books, on requisition, from the Superintendent, Civil Veterinary Department. The Patel of the Village where the applicant resides, must give the duplicate receipt to the party paying the fee. Manuscript receipts in duplicate will be issued by the Patels pending the receipt of printed books. The Patel shall also keep a simple remittance book in Form No. 2 for entering the daily receipts and for noting the remittances to the Treasury, which will ordinarily be once a month. The Patel shall produce the remittance with a challan, along with the counterfoil receipt book and the remittance book for scrutiny by the Treasury Officer. The counterfoil receipt books, after they are used up, should be promptly returned to the Amildar, by the Patel concerned. The receipts will be credited in the Treasury under the head "Agriculture." The Comptroller will issue necessary detailed instructions in the matter, for the guidance of the Treasury. A statement of the receipts during each month will be sent by the Treasury to the Superintendent, Civil Veterinary Department, who will verify the collections with the accounts received from the several Veterinary Inspectors. The Veterinary Inspector will, in forms prescribed by the Director, keep separate accounts of the doses of serum used (i) for the active method, (ii) for the passive method of inoculation and submit weekly returns to the Superintendent for enabling him to control the operations and to verify the collections with the Treasury credits.

The Superintendent, Civil Veterinary Department, will submit to the Director of Agriculture, for report to Government before the 10th of the succeeding month, monthly statements showing the progress of the operations as already ordered in No. I. C. 2030—C. V. 14-25-8, dated 22nd September 1925.

FORM No. 1.

RECEIPT GRANTED FOR THE PAYMENT OF SIMULTANEOUS INOCULATION FEE (Re. 1).

Book No. Serial No.

Received the above sum from Mr.....of..... village of .....hobli of..... ....Taluk, for inoculating 1.....(here enter buffalo, cow, bull, etc., as the case may be).

Date of receipt. Patel's signature.

RECEIPT GRANTED FOR THE PAYMENT OF SIMULTANEOUS INOCULATION FEE (Re. 1).

Book No. Serial No.

Received the above sum from Mr.....of..... village of.....hobli of..... ....Taluk, for inoculating 1.....(here enter buffalo, cow, bull, etc., as the case may be).

Date of receipt. Patel's signature.

*

Inoculation Register No.	Date of Inoculation: Weight of animal.	Initials of the Veterinary Inspector.

Note.—This counterfoil receipt should be given to the party on payment of Re. 1 and should be produced by the latter before the Veterinary Inspector, while presenting the cattle for inoculation.

* To be entered by Veterinary Inspectors after inoculation.

No. I. C. 3521-32—C. V. 57-25-4, DATED 23RD NOVEMBER 1925.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section C. V.

File No. 57-25

Seria No. [illegible]

No. [illegible]

To The Comptr. to Govt.

Sir

With ref^ce to your letter no. TAD. B. 876 dt 21.11.25 regrdg the Method of accounts to be maintained in connection with the Receipt & credit to Govt. of fees to be realised on account of Cattle, I am to invite your attention to G.O. no. Jc. 3521-32/GV 5)-25-4 dt 23rd Nov. 25 ~~requiring~~ detailed instructions regarding the ~~Ac~~ Method of accounts to be adopted in the matter

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25